



## Delegated Decisions by Cabinet Member for Environment (including Transport) Thursday, 21 November 2013

### ADDENDA

#### 3. Petitions and Public Address

##### Petitions

##### *Thames Travel bus no 32*

To be presented on behalf of bus users in Grove and surrounding area by Jean Nunn-Price, Parish Transport Representative for Grove.

##### *Introduction of measures to reduce the risk of accidents at the exit from the cycle track from Cherwell School to Lonsdale Road*

To be presented by Christa laird and County Councillor Jean Fooks.

##### Public Address

<i>Speaker</i>	<i>Item</i>
Derek Taylor (Risinghurst & Sandhills Parish Council)	)
County Councillor Roz Smith (Risinghurst & Sandhills Parish Council)	)
City Councillor Mike Rowley (Barton & Sandhills)	)
City Councillor Dee Sinclair (Quarry & Risinghurst)	)
County Councillor Glynis Phillips (Barton, Sandhills and Risinghurst)	)
	) 4. Consideration of Objections to Experimental Traffic Regulation Order - Banned turns on A40 Risinghurst
Robert Smith (Local Resident)	6. Proposed Removal of Parking Bay – Lime walk, Headington
County Councillor Roz Smith (Local Member)	

## **5. Proposed Parking Restrictions - Various Locations in Littlemore and Iffley Turn, Oxford**

County Councillor Gill Sanders (Rose Hill & Littlemore) supports the officer recommendations

## **6. Proposed Removal of Parking Bay - Lime Walk, Headington**

### *Additional Representations*

Statement from Ulla Weinberg and Theo Paraskevopoulos - Residents, 129 B Lime Walk, Oxford OX3 7AD

We moved into the above property in July 2013, and we are very concerned that the discussions about parking in front of our driveways are still ongoing, with very little involvement from us – the residents most affected by the decision. We understand that the latest proposal is to preserve one parking bay in the middle of the two driveways, and put the kerb back up. We don't feel that this is a satisfactory solution for the residents of 129b and 129c Lime Walk.

Our concerns are as follows:

- We bought a property with full access to a double driveway. If cars are parked outside of the proposed parking bay, we run the risk of being parked in at any point of the day. My husband works outside of Oxford and our daughter goes to school at the other end of Oxford, so we do depend on access to our car.
- The space in front of our driveway has become a popular hangout for taxis with running engines. We'd very much like to discourage this.
- We can't see how preserving a parking bay is a satisfactory way of "calming traffic" – one of the reasons given by the residents association for objecting to the removal of the original parking bays. Surely there are more efficient traffic calming measures, than making drivers swerve around parked cars.
- There is ample on street parking on Lime Walk – from what we can see there are always spaces available up and down the road at peak times.
- Putting the kerb back up again seems like a terrible waste of money.

We are also concerned that the residents association never made any attempts to contact us to discuss the matter (although they did talk to our neighbour in 129c). I wonder how many residents they actually represent in this matter.

In our view the original plan – a double yellow line in front of the driveways – is by far the best solution, and the one we understood was agreed during the planning process.